

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR 09/882,912 06/15/2001 Robert Malcolm Watson JR. AIC-00902 1894 **EXAMINER** 28960 7590 03/24/2004 **HAVERSTOCK & OWENS LLP** SNAY, JEFFREY R 162 NORTH WOLFE ROAD PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT SUNNYVALE, CA 94086 1743

DATE MAILED: 03/24/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		51
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/882,912	WATSON ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jeffrey R. Snay	1743
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory provided to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ron. a reply within the statutory minimum of thin period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AE	reply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
· <u></u>	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for al		ers, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice un	der <i>Ex parte Quayl</i> e, 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 20-47 is/are pending in the appli	cation.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit		·
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>20-47</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection t	o the drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the c	orrection is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ne Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.
riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		pplication No.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the		··
application from the International B	•	
* See the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certified copies not	received.
Attachment(s)	,, — , , , ,)
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94) 	· —	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date
3) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S	SB/08) 5) L Notice of I	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>03/26/03, 12/18/01</u>	6)	 -

Application/Control Number: 09/882,912

Art Unit: 1743

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 20-29 and 32-46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Higuchi et al (EP 0640828).

Higuchi et al disclose an apparatus for monitoring fluorescence from a plurality of samples comprising, referring to Figure 15 thereof, a CCD detector (16a'), a light source (14') configured to illuminate the sample with light of a first wavelength, and a filter wheel (132) positioned between the sample and detector to allow only emitted light of a predetermined emission wavelength to pass from the samples to the detector. Higuchi et al further teach the use of lasers providing a spectrum of light in conjunction with appropriate filters for limiting the excitation light to a desired excitation wavelength (see column 30, lines 2-10). Higuchi et al further teach means for sequentially detecting multiple labels by selection of appropriate filters on the filter wheel (see column 32, lines 33-46).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 09/882,912 Page 3

Art Unit: 1743

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 6. Claims 30, 31 and 47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Higuchi et al.

Double Patenting

7. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent

Application/Control Number: 09/882,912

Art Unit: 1743

and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

- 8. Claims 20-47 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-12 of U.S. Patent No. 6,271,042. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the instant claims are fully anticipated by, and differ only nominally from, the patented claims.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey R. Snay whose telephone number is (571) 272-1264. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill A. Warden can be reached on (571) 272-1267. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1743

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jeffrey R. Snay Primary Examiner Art Unit 1743

jrs